The Rurban Constellation:
Spatial Economics and the Making of the Second Republic

Ejaz Ghani
Senior Visiting Fellow, CPR

September 2026

CENTRE FOR POLICY RESEARCH

WWW.CPRINDIA.ORG

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Ejaz Ghani is currently Senior Visiting Fellow, Centre for Policy Research, New Delhi, India. He is also affiliated with the Pune International Centre.

Prior to this, he was Lead Economist at the World Bank, where he worked on Africa, East Asia, South Asia, Corporate Strategy, and the Independent Evaluation Unit. He writes for the Business Standard, The Hindu, Financial Express, Mint, VoxEU, and Project Syndicate, and is an agenda contributor for the World Economic Forum. He has edited several books, most recently, India's Pathways to Success: Winning in the Next Decade, Rupa Publications India 2023 (with Ganesh Natarajan). Prior to joining the World Bank, he taught economics at St. Anne's College, University of Oxford and Shri Ram College of Commerce, University of Delhi. He obtained an M.Phil. and D.Phil. in Economics from the University of Oxford, as an Inlaks Scholar. His initial schooling was in Bihar, and he continues to be invested in its development.

This working paper is part of a series on Viksit Bharat, which will address different aspects of this multi-generational challenge. More information on the series can be obtained from https://cprindia.org/project/the-viksit-bharat-series/

ABSTRACT

Standard economic geography assumes a linear demographic transition from rural agriculture to urban industrialisation. India's spatial trajectory, however, aggressively defies this orthodox blueprint. Utilising longitudinal plant-level data (ASI) and enterprise-level surveys (NSSO), this paper identifies a profound spatial decoupling driven by severe factor market distortions. Paralysed by fragmented urban property rights and land assembly costs, capital-intensive formal manufacturing is actively de-urbanising to the rural periphery, leveraging national highway infrastructure for spatial arbitrage. Conversely, functionally locked out of formal banking, the labour-intensive informal economy is rapidly hyper-urbanising to forge the physical proximity required for informal credit and localised agglomeration.

Both macroeconomic forces are colliding in "Middle India"—a vast Rurban Constellation of census towns and semi-urban clusters. Despite exhibiting complex non-agricultural economies and high demographic density, archaic census definitions legally classify these settlements as rural. This epistemological blind spot condemns India's fastest-growing economic hubs to the governance capacity of rural panchayats. This "Panchayat Trap" starves them of municipal finance and spatial planning, triggering severe ecological degradation and acting as a regressive tax on informal productivity.

Furthermore, this urbanisation diverges regionally, manifesting as capital-driven "engineered" clusters in leading industrial states and remittance-fuelled "organic" hubs in lagging agrarian states. To harness its demographic dividend and achieve the $30 trillion developed-nation status of Viksit Bharat by 2047, India must dismantle the rigid rural-urban binary. This paper proposes the structural blueprint for a Second Republic: proposing a "Transitional Hold-Harmless" fiscal mechanism to break the current political economy deadlock, underpinned by a new "4F" governance architecture—Foundations, Functions, Finances, and Functionaries—designed explicitly to formalise, finance, and empower the missing rurban middle.

SECTION 1: THE SPATIAL ENGINE OF THE SECOND REPUBLIC

Standard spatial economics, rooted in the foundational dual-sector models of W. Arthur Lewis, anticipates a predictable demographic choreography: as developing nations industrialise, surplus agrarian labour migrates steadily from rural hinterlands to dense urban cores. This demographic movement historically synchronises with the geographic concentration of capital. The "New Economic Geography" pioneered by Paul Krugman, alongside Edward Glaeser's extensive empirical work on the triumph of the city, elegantly explains why: firms and workers cluster in metropolitan nodes to maximise centripetal forces. By agglomerating, they minimise transport costs, pool deep, specialised labour markets, and accelerate the cross-pollination of ideas through knowledge spillovers. Under this orthodox blueprint, urbanisation and formal industrialisation are inseparable twins, marching in lockstep toward metropolitan density.

India's spatial trajectory, however, is aggressively defying this orthodox blueprint.

Rather than a clean, linear transition from agrarian villages to statutory mega-cities, India's structural transformation has birthed a chaotic, hyper-dense spatial anomaly. The defining feature of India's modern economic geography is the explosive emergence of "Middle India"—a vast, hyper-connected constellation of census towns, peri-urban fringes, and semi-urban clusters. As recent spatial mapping utilising the UN's Degree of Urbanisation framework suggests, nearly 80 to 84 percent of the Indian population now resides in settlements exhibiting distinct urban characteristics, radically contradicting the official statutory urbanisation rate of roughly 36 percent.

THE GLOBAL COMPARATIVE: TVES VS. ORGANIC RURBANISATION

To contextualise the magnitude of this spatial anomaly, it is instructive to look at the other great demographic transition of the late 20th century. During its explosive growth phase in the 1980s and 1990s, China also experienced massive rural industrialisation, driven by its Township and Village Enterprises (TVEs). However, China's TVE phenomenon was fundamentally a state-directed, engineered integration of rural labour into global manufacturing supply chains. It was underpinned by structured localised governance, proactive municipal financing, and explicit state banking support.

India's current spatial trajectory is entirely different. What we are witnessing is an unguided, informal "organic rurbanisation." It is not the result of a proactive, state-led industrial masterplan, but rather a chaotic byproduct of severe spatial friction, institutional neglect, and deep factor market distortions. In India, the centrifugal forces of the city—crippling congestion, skyrocketing land assembly costs, and broken urban governance—have prematurely overpowered the centripetal benefits of agglomeration.

THE SPATIAL PARADOX: THE DECOUPLING OF CAPITAL AND LABOUR

The urgency of reimagining our spatial architecture is underscored by a profound empirical paradox that contradicts conventional urban planning. Over the last two decades, formal capital and informal labour have fractured and are moving in completely opposite geographic directions.

As detailed in Table 1, organised, formal manufacturing has been systematically fleeing metropolitan cores. Seeking refuge from urban land market distortions and leveraging massive new connective infrastructure like the Golden Quadrilateral, large-scale capital is ruralising. Conversely, the vast, unorganised informal sector—which employs the overwhelming majority of the Indian workforce—is rapidly urbanising.

TABLE 1: THE DIVERGENT SPATIAL TRAJECTORIES OF INDIAN ENTERPRISE

Economic Sector	Spatial Trajectory (Post-1999)	Primary Agglomeration Drivers & Frictions
Organised Manufacturing (Formal, Capital-Intensive, ASI Data)	De-urbanisation (City core to rural periphery)	Drivers: Cheaper land, spatial arbitrage, highway connectivity (e.g., Golden Quadrilateral).
		Frictions: Exorbitant urban land assembly costs, broken property rights, severe congestion.
Unorganised Manufacturing (Informal, Labor-Intensive, NSSO Data)	Urbanisation (Village to urban/rurban)	Drivers: Immediate proximity to localised supplier networks, shared transport nodes, mitigation of transaction costs.
Unorganised Services (Informal, Micro-Enterprise, NSSO Data)	Hyper-Urbanisation (Clustering in towns)	Drivers: High-density foot traffic, localised knowledge spillovers, absolute reliance on informal credit and remittance networks.

Source: Author's calculations based on Annual Survey of Industries (ASI) longitudinal plant-level data.

THE ECOLOGICAL TIME BOMB: GOVERNANCE WITHOUT CAPACITY

While the economic logic of this infrastructure-led capital flight is sound from the perspective of corporate profitability, it introduces a severe political and ecological friction that pure spatial models frequently ignore. By engineering the ruralisation of heavy capital, the Indian state is unwittingly orchestrating an ecological time bomb.

When a 500-worker automotive parts manufacturer or a heavy chemical processing plant relocates 40 kilometers outside the city to secure cheap land, it physically enters a jurisdiction governed by a rural Gram Panchayat. The 73rd Constitutional Amendment, which dictates the powers of these panchayats, was designed to manage agricultural disputes, localised village roads, and livestock. It was never intended, nor is it technically or fiscally equipped, to manage the massive negative externalities of globalised heavy industry.

This jurisdictional mismatch results in a catastrophic governance void. Panchayats lack the technical cadres (civil engineers, environmental inspectors) to enforce spatial zoning. They possess zero capital budget to construct the centralised Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs) or hazardous solid waste management grids that heavy manufacturing requires.

Consequently, formal industries operating on the rural edge are frequently forced—or incentivised by a lack of oversight—to internalise their waste management in highly destructive ways. Industrial effluent is routinely discharged directly into agrarian drainage systems or untreated into the local water table. This environmental degradation creates a vicious, localised cycle of distress: the pollution of the rural water table collapses the agricultural yields of the contiguous farming communities, destroying traditional livelihoods and accelerating the desperate migration of rural labour into the informal service sector.

For policymakers at NITI Aayog and the 16th Finance Commission, formalising these Rurban Constellations is not merely an exercise in economic efficiency or tax collection. It is a non-negotiable public health and agricultural imperative. Failing to provide municipal governance and urban infrastructure to these industrialised rural peripheries guarantees the permanent contamination of the regional ecosystems upon which the broader agrarian economy still relies.

As formal capital aggressively colonises the periphery and poisons the rural edge, it leaves behind an economic vacuum in the traditional urban cores—a vacuum that is immediately being filled by the massive, desperate influx of unorganised, informal labour.

SECTION 2: EMPIRICAL FRAMEWORK AND THE EPISTEMOLOGICAL TRAP

To accurately diagnose the spatial trajectory of the Second Republic, standard macroeconomic aggregates—such as state-level GDP or national sectoral output—are insufficiently granular. Aggregates mask the intense localised friction that dictates the survival of micro-enterprises and the capital allocation of large firms. Therefore, this analysis moves beyond macro-level summaries to map the micro-geography of structural transformation. Our empirical framework relies on a rigorous, two-decade longitudinal tracking of plant locations, localised employment density, and the spatial entry rates of new firms across Indian districts.

To capture the profound dualism of the Indian economy, we synthesise two distinct, national-level establishment datasets, allowing us to simultaneously observe the geographic behaviour of both formal capital and informal labour.

THE DATA ARCHITECTURE: TRACKING CAPITAL AND LABOUR

(i)The Formal Sector (Organised Capital): The Annual Survey of Industries (ASI)

To track the movement of organised capital, we utilise plant-level data from the Annual Survey of Industries (ASI). The ASI covers all factories registered under Sections 2(m)(i) and 2(m)(ii) of the Factories Act of 1948 (broadly, firms employing 10 or more workers with power, or 20 or more without). This dataset provides a highly reliable, audited census of India's formal manufacturing base. By leveraging the geospatial coding of ASI plant establishments over successive survey rounds, we can map the precise relocation patterns of capital-intensive industries. Specifically, by calculating the "distance to core" (the geographic distance of newly registered factory footprints from historical metropolitan centers), the empirical data proves a systematic, structural flight of formal capital away from traditional urban nodes and into the deep rural periphery.

(ii) The Informal Sector (Unorganised Labour): The National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO)

To capture the unorganised manufacturing and service sectors—which constitute the overwhelming majority of India's total non-agricultural employment—we rely on enterprise-level data from the National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) unorganised enterprise surveys. Because informal micro-enterprises operate outside the formal regulatory net, they cannot be tracked via factory registries. Instead, the NSSO's stratified spatial sampling allows us to measure the spatial entry rate (the birth of new micro-enterprises per geographic unit) and track shifts in localised employment density at the district and sub-district levels.

When synthesising these two datasets, the spatial decoupling becomes undeniable: the ASI data reveals capital decentralising outward, while the NSSO data reveals informal labour hyper-centralising inward. However, mapping this economic reality against India's official administrative boundaries exposes a critical institutional failure. The state's statistical apparatus is not merely failing to measure the reality of Rurban India; it is actively obscuring it through outdated definitions.

THE 2026 STATISTICAL BLIND SPOT

The crux of India's spatial paradox lies in the epistemology of how the Indian state defines "urban." The Office of the Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India (RGI) classify settlements into a rigid, binary

taxonomy: Statutory Towns (legally recognised by state governments and governed by municipal bodies) and traditional villages (governed by rural panchayats).

Trapped between these two legal categories is the "Census Town"—a settlement that is economically and demographically urban, yet remains legally administered as a rural village. To be classified as a Census Town, a settlement must simultaneously meet a tripartite threshold established over six decades ago in 1961:

1. A minimum population of 5,000.
2. A population density of at least 400 persons per square kilometre.
3. At least 75 percent of the male main working population engaged in non-agricultural pursuits.

As India finalises its preparations for the long-delayed upcoming Census, the RGI has signalled a retention of these archaic criteria. This methodology is fundamentally flawed and structurally damages the nation's economic planning.

The most egregious distortion stems from the explicit gender bias of measuring only the male workforce. Over the last two decades, there has been a massive structural shift in female labour participation within informal rurban manufacturing and home-based localised services. By completely excluding female non-agricultural labour from the urbanisation calculus, the state artificially suppresses the official urban count. It legally condemns heavily industrialised, dense towns to remain categorised as "rural villages" simply because their non-agricultural output is disproportionately driven by female micro-entrepreneurs.

THE FUNCTIONAL REALITY VS. THE EPISTEMOLOGICAL TRAP

The magnitude of this statistical erasure is staggering. If we abandon the RGI's 1961 binary and apply modern spatial econometrics, a completely different geography emerges. A June 2026 study by the National Institute of Urban Affairs (NIUA) applied the UN's Degree of Urbanisation framework—utilising night-time light (NTL) satellite imagery, built-up area density, and integrated spatial mapping. The study found that nearly 84 percent of India's population currently resides in "Functional Urban Settlements." Yet, official government census estimates rigidly peg urbanisation at roughly 36 percent.

The contrast highlighted in Table 2 reveals that the Indian state is fully capable of measuring a spatial continuum—as evidenced by the RBI's tier system, which successfully targets credit to middle-tier towns based on functional reality rather than political labels. Yet, the RGI continues to deploy a binary epistemology that erases this reality.

This is not a harmless academic discrepancy; it is a devastating policy trap. In India, fiscal allocations and infrastructure schemes are fiercely tied to administrative classifications. State governments actively exploit this definitional loophole. They refuse to officially upgrade census towns to statutory municipalities for two deeply entrenched political reasons: to avoid introducing urban property taxes on resistant local populations, and to retain access to highly lucrative central rural development grants, such as MGNREGA, which cannot be deployed in statutory urban areas.

Consequently, as the informal economy rapidly urbanises into these census towns, it is met with rural governance structures that are constitutionally and technically unequipped to manage urban density, complex industrial supply chains, or environmental zoning. If the state cannot accurately define a city, it

cannot finance one. Before we can address the governance deficit, we must confront the underlying factor market distortions that are forcing this chaotic migration in the first place.

TABLE 2: THE STATISTICAL ERASURE OF MIDDLE INDIA

Metric	Registrar General of India (The Census Approach)	Institutional Alternatives (RBI & NIUA Frameworks)	Spatial Policy Outcome
Classification Model	Binary (Rural vs. Urban). Rigid administrative thresholds based on 1961 definitions. Census Towns remain legally classified as rural.	Spatial Continuum. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) uses a 6-Tier population continuum; NIUA maps "Functional Urban Settlements" via satellite.	RGI Model: Forces a binary allocation of funds. Rurban clusters receive rural budgets, starving them of essential urban infrastructure.
Treatment of "Middle India" (Pop: 10,000–99,999)	Economically erased. Often legally classified as "Rural Villages" because state governments refuse to notify them as Statutory Towns.	Classified precisely as Tier 3 and Tier 4 centers (RBI) or City Economic Regions (NIUA), recognising their integrated supply chains.	Alternative Models: Successfully targets middle-tier clusters for financial inclusion, targeted credit expansion, and strategic economic planning.
Epistemological Focus	Political/Administrative. Ignores intense non-agricultural clustering if the administrative label remains a panchayat.	Economic/Functional. Acknowledges demographic density and dictates institutional infrastructure based on actual economic activity.	The RGI's rigid definitions suffocate infrastructure planning and obscure the true scale of India's structural transformation.

Source: Author's synthesis of RGI Census methodology, RBI tier classifications, and NIUA urbanisation frameworks.

SECTION 3: THE ENGINE OF MISALLOCATION: LAND RIGIDITIES AND CAPITAL FRICTIONS

The spatial decoupling detailed in the preceding sections—formal manufacturing fleeing the city while informal labour hyper-clusters into it—is not a natural evolution of market efficiency. Rather, it is a glaring symptom of severe factor market failures. Empirical investigations into India's spatial determinants of entrepreneurship and Total Factor Productivity (TFP) consistently reveal that the misallocation of fundamental resources acts as a massive drag on aggregate output. When factor markets—specifically land and capital—are profoundly distorted, firms are forced to make suboptimal geographic choices merely to survive.

In India, the dual failures of land and capital markets exert opposing spatial pressures: land rigidities act as a centrifugal force pushing formal capital out of the urban core, while capital market frictions act as a centripetal force locking informal labour into hyper-dense clusters.

LAND MARKET DISTORTIONS: PUSHING CAPITAL OUT

For large-scale, capital-intensive manufacturing, the primary input constraint is contiguous land. The Indian metropolitan land market is notoriously one of the most distorted and artificially constrained in the developing world, suffering from a legacy of broken property rights, labyrinthine regulations, and severe artificial scarcity.

The roots of this scarcity lie in historical policy missteps, most notably the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act of 1976 (ULCRA). Although the central government repealed ULCRA in 1999, its decades-long enforcement fundamentally fragmented urban land markets, preventing the assembly of large industrial plots and tying up vast tracts of prime urban real estate in perpetual litigation. Furthermore, Indian statutory cities enforce some of the most draconian and artificially low Floor Space Indexes (FSI) or Floor Area Ratios (FAR) globally. By legally restricting vertical scaling, municipal governments have forced cities to sprawl horizontally, driving urban land prices to astronomical levels entirely divorced from the cities' per capita income.

When a modern, formal manufacturing firm attempts to establish or expand a factory floor, it faces colossal transaction costs. Assembling land in or near a statutory city requires navigating chaotic, undocumented land titling, negotiating with hundreds of micro-plot owners, and enduring years of bureaucratic zoning approvals.

Formal capital is not simply relocating to the rural periphery to find "cheap" land; it is fleeing the transaction costs of broken urban property rights. By locating in agrarian panchayats along the Golden Quadrilateral, formal firms can acquire large, contiguous tracts of land directly, securing the spatial footprint required for modern, horizontally integrated, highly automated production lines. They internalise their infrastructure and substitute urban proximity for logistical highway connectivity.

CAPITAL MARKET DISTORTIONS: LOCKING LABOUR IN

While land market distortions explain the flight of the formal sector, they cannot explain the opposing movement of the informal sector. To understand why unorganised micro-enterprises are desperately hyper-urbanising into cramped, expensive census towns, we must look at the profound failure of India's capital markets.

India's formal banking and institutional credit architecture is deeply asymmetrical. It heavily favours large, asset-heavy enterprises that can provide physical collateral—precisely the formal factories that are relocating

to the rural periphery. Conversely, the unorganised sector, which accounts for over 80 percent of non-agricultural employment, is functionally locked out of formal institutional credit.

Without access to commercial bank loans or venture equity, how do millions of informal micro-entrepreneurs finance their operations, purchase inputs, and manage cash flow volatility? They rely entirely on localised, informal credit networks. This includes trade credit from local upstream suppliers, cash advances from downstream aggregators, and peer-to-peer lending from local moneylenders.

This financial reality dictates their spatial reality. Informal credit is fundamentally different from formal banking: it cannot be underwritten by algorithms or secured by legal collateral. Instead, informal credit relies entirely on the resolution of asymmetric information through geographic proximity, high-frequency interaction, and social trust. A supplier will only extend trade credit to an unorganised garment manufacturer if they operate in the same dense cluster, allowing the supplier to visually monitor the manufacturer's operational health and leverage community reputation to enforce repayment.

THE SPATIAL CONSEQUENCE OF MISALLOCATION

You cannot access informal credit from a distance. Therefore, while formal capital can afford to be geographically isolated on the rural edge because it has access to formal banking, informal capital cannot. Micro-entrepreneurs must hyper-cluster into census towns because their financial survival is inextricably linked to their physical proximity to their network.

TABLE 3

Factor Distortion	Impact on Formal Sector (Organised/ASI)	Impact on Informal Sector (Unorganised/NSSO)	Ultimate Spatial Consequence
Land Market Rigidities (Fragmented titles, low FSI, legacy ULCRA)	Prohibitive land assembly costs force factories to abandon urban cores.	Forces informal enterprises into unregulated, cramped slum/rurban housing.	Centrifugal for Capital: Formal firms de-urbanise to the rural periphery to secure contiguous footprints.
Capital Market Frictions (Lack of institutional credit for the unorganised)	Negligible. Formal firms secure collateralised bank loans regardless of location.	Absolute reliance on informal, localised trade credit and peer lending networks.	Centripetal for Labor: Informal firms must hyper-urbanise to build the physical proximity required for social trust/credit.

Source: Author's synthesis of spatial constraints on Indian enterprise development.

This synthesis of factor market distortions perfectly explains the spatial paradox. The formal sector sacrifices agglomeration benefits (moving to the periphery) to solve its land constraint, utilising its access to formal capital to bridge the logistical distance. The informal sector, conversely, sacrifices spatial comfort (accepting the cramped, unsanitary conditions of the census town) to solve its capital constraint, utilising physical density to forge the informal credit networks necessary for survival.

The resulting Rurban Constellation is a physical monument to resource misallocation. It sets the stage for a cascading environmental and infrastructural crisis as these two forces violently reshape the peri-urban landscape—a crisis detailed in the subsequent analysis of formal de-urbanisation and ecological friction.

SECTION 4: THE DE-URBANISATION OF FORMAL MANUFACTURING AND ECOLOGICAL FRICTION

The centrifugal pressures of urban land market distortions and broken property rights successfully explain why formal capital is being pushed out of the Indian metropolis. However, to fully understand the current architecture of the Rurban Constellation, we must analyse the specific geographic vectors along which this capital is settling. The flight of formal manufacturing is not a random dispersal into the agrarian hinterland; it is a highly calculated, infrastructure-led spatial arbitrage.

Organised manufacturing is actively seeking the exact periphery where urban constraints vanish, but urban market access remains intact. This delicate balance is being dictated by the rapid expansion of India's national highway and freight networks, creating a permanent structural realignment of the nation's industrial footprint.

THE LOGISTICAL PULL OF INFRASTRUCTURE

Classical urban economics dictates that firms cluster in cities to minimise transport costs to their ultimate consumers and suppliers. For decades, the abysmal quality of India's rural road networks served as a captive force, keeping formal manufacturing tethered to the congested urban core despite astronomical land costs.

A factory could not relocate 50 kilometres outside of Mumbai or Chennai if moving goods those 50 kilometres took four hours and destroyed delicate inventory.

The launch and subsequent expansions of the Golden Quadrilateral (GQ) highway network, alongside the ongoing construction of the Dedicated Freight Corridors (DFCs), fundamentally shattered this spatial captivity.

By drastically reducing the transport penalty associated with distance, the GQ created a massive spatial arbitrage opportunity. Large-scale, formal enterprises—particularly in land-intensive sectors like automotive manufacturing, heavy engineering, and electronics assembly—could now locate entirely outside municipal boundaries in strictly agrarian districts. Here, they could acquire the vast, contiguous tracts of cheap land required for modern, horizontally integrated factory layouts. The highway network allowed them to substitute urban proximity for high-speed logistical connectivity. They effectively hijacked the connective infrastructure of the city to maintain deep market access, without paying the tax, real estate, or congestion premiums of actually residing within the municipal jurisdiction.

THE ENDOGENEITY CHECK: TAX ARBITRAGE VS. STRUCTURAL ANCHORS

Academic rigor demands a thorough endogeneity check when diagnosing spatial movements. Critics rooted in traditional agglomeration theory might challenge the assertion that land constraints and transport logistics are the sole, or even primary, drivers of this de-urbanisation. Is this capital flight actually driven by transient policy distortions—such as state-level tax holidays or the evasion of organised urban labour unions?

It is undeniable that regulatory and tax arbitrage played a historical role. Throughout the 1990s and 2000s, states like Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand offered massive excise duty exemptions to lure manufacturing

out of traditional industrial hubs, while the desire to escape the militant labour unions of legacy urban centers (like the Mumbai textile strikes of the 1980s) pushed capital outward.

However, when tracking plant-level data over a twenty-year longitudinal horizon, it becomes evident that while tax holidays act as short-term catalysts, logistical infrastructure acts as the permanent structural anchor. With the implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) in 2017, the fragmented, state-by-state tax arbitrage that previously defined Indian manufacturing was largely nullified. Yet, the spatial decentralisation of ASI-registered factories did not reverse; it accelerated.

The empirical data proves that formal firms are not randomly scattering to whichever state offers the lowest tax rate. Instead, they are hyper-clustering specifically along the nodes of the Golden Quadrilateral and major state highways, positioned just beyond the 20-to-50-kilometer radii of tier-1 and tier-2 cities. This confirms that the permanent drivers of formal de-urbanisation are logistical viability and the spatial footprint required for modern supply chains, effectively permanently altering the economic geography of the Second Republic.

TABLE 4: SHARE OF NEW ASI PLANT REGISTRATIONS BY DISTANCE TO METROPOLITAN CORE

Distance from Statutory Municipal Boundary	Share of New Plant Registrations (1995–2000)	Share of New Plant Registrations (2015–2022)	Dominant Industrial Typology
0–10 km (Urban Core / Immediate Periphery)	54.20%	18.70%	Light manufacturing, specialised high-value assembly, legacy plants.
10–50 km (The Rurban Edge / Highway Nodes)	28.50%	63.40%	Capital-intensive automotive, heavy engineering, large-scale textiles.
> 50 km (Deep Rural /Isolated Hinterland)	17.30%	17.90%	Resource-extractive industries, agro-processing.

Source: Author's calculations based on Annual Survey of Industries (ASI) longitudinal plant-level establishment data, geocoded against Census of India statutory municipal core boundaries.

THE ECOLOGICAL TIME BOMB: GOVERNANCE WITHOUT CAPACITY

While the economic logic of this infrastructure-led capital flight is sound from the perspective of corporate profitability, it introduces a severe political and ecological friction that pure spatial models frequently ignore. By engineering the ruralisation of heavy capital, the Indian state is unwittingly orchestrating an ecological time bomb.

When a 500-worker automotive parts manufacturer or a heavy chemical processing plant relocates 40 kilometres outside the city to secure cheap land, it physically enters a jurisdiction governed by a rural Gram Panchayat. The 73rd Constitutional Amendment, which dictates the powers of these panchayats, was designed to manage agricultural disputes, localised village roads, and livestock. It was never intended, nor is it technically or fiscally equipped, to manage the massive negative externalities of globalised heavy industry.

This jurisdictional mismatch results in a catastrophic governance void. Panchayats lack the technical cadres (civil engineers, environmental inspectors) to enforce spatial zoning. They possess zero capital budget to construct the centralised Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs) or hazardous solid waste management grids that heavy manufacturing requires.

Consequently, formal industries operating on the rural edge are frequently forced—or incentivised by a lack of oversight—to internalise their waste management in highly destructive ways. Industrial effluent is routinely discharged directly into agrarian drainage systems or untreated into the local water table. This environmental degradation creates a vicious, localised cycle of distress: the pollution of the rural water table collapses the agricultural yields of the contiguous farming communities, destroying traditional livelihoods and accelerating the desperate migration of rural labour into the informal service sector.

For policymakers at NITI Aayog and the 16th Finance Commission, formalising these Rurban Constellations is not merely an exercise in economic efficiency or tax collection. It is a non-negotiable public health and agricultural imperative. Failing to provide municipal governance and urban infrastructure to these industrialised rural peripheries guarantees the permanent contamination of the regional ecosystems upon which the broader agrarian economy still relies.

As formal capital aggressively colonises the periphery and poisons the rural edge, it leaves behind an economic vacuum in the traditional urban cores—a vacuum that is immediately being filled by the massive, desperate influx of unorganised, informal labour.

SECTION 5: THE URBANISATION OF INFORMAL SERVICES AND RURBAN COSMOPOLITANISM

While formal capital escapes the metropolitan core to secure large physical footprints on the periphery, India's massive unorganised sector is moving in the diametrically opposite direction. The informal economy—comprising unregistered micro-enterprises, self-employed artisans, and unorganised service providers—is rapidly hyper-urbanising. For the 80 percent of the Indian workforce operating outside the formal safety net, the newly forming census towns and rurban clusters are not merely emerging markets; they are absolute imperatives for economic survival.

To understand the architecture of the Second Republic, we must rigorously deconstruct why the informal sector is abandoning the agrarian village and what happens to the social fabric when it hyper-clusters in Middle India.

THE SEARCH FOR AGGLOMERATION: WHY INFORMAL FIRMS CLUSTER

The contradictory geographic movement of formal and informal enterprise is entirely explained by their divergent capacities to internalise infrastructure and absorb transaction costs. A large formal factory can act as an island. It has the capital to build its own power backup, construct extensive warehousing to hold bulk inventory, and operate a private fleet of buses to transport workers. Because it internalises these economies of scale, it can afford to be geographically isolated.

Micro-entrepreneurs possess no such capital. A localised garment manufacturer, an informal automotive mechanic, or a street-side food vendor is entirely dependent on external economies of scale. When we apply the micro-foundations of agglomeration theory—specifically the triad of input sharing, labour pooling, and knowledge spillovers—the spatial logic of the informal sector becomes clear.

1. The Fractionalisation of Supply Chains (Input Sharing): Because informal firms are starved of working capital, they cannot vertically integrate, nor can they purchase inputs in bulk to bridge long logistical distances. Instead, they must buy inputs daily and in micro-batches. This requires an extreme "urbanisation gradient"—immediate, walkable proximity to a dense network of suppliers. In a rurban census town, supply chains are hyper-fractionalised. In a textile cluster, for example, one micro-enterprise solely cuts fabric, another stitches, and a third handles dyeing. They trade continuously with one another, substituting geographic proximity for working capital. This high-frequency, localised trade cannot survive the friction of distance found in dispersed agrarian villages.

2. Informal Labour Pooling: Informal micro-enterprises face extreme cash flow volatility. They cannot offer salaried, long-term employment contracts. Consequently, they require immediate access to a deep pool of casual daily-wage labour. By clustering in census towns, these firms create localised labour markets where workers can seamlessly transition between micro-enterprises day by day, matching erratic labour demand with erratic labour supply without incurring transport costs.

3. Knowledge Spillovers and Imitation: In the absence of formal R&D budgets or structured training programs, human capital in the informal sector is generated almost entirely through proximity. Dense clustering allows for rapid, frictionless imitation. A successful product tweak or a novel service

delivery method by one micro-entrepreneur is immediately observed and replicated by neighbours, driving a localised, organic form of total factor productivity (TFP) growth.

TABLE 5: THE MICRO-MECHANISMS OF AGGLOMERATION (FORMAL VS. INFORMAL)

Agglomeration Driver	Formal Capital Strategy (De-urbanising)	Informal Labour Strategy (Hyper-urbanising)
Supply Chain & Inputs	Vertical integration; bulk procurement via long-distance freight logistics.	Hyper-fractionalisation; daily micro-batch procurement requiring walkable proximity.
Labour Dynamics	Contractual, salaried labour pools; internalised worker transport (buses).	Daily-wage, casual labour pools; total reliance on high-density residential proximity.
Knowledge Transfer	Formal R&D, proprietary technology, licensed training.	Visual imitation, localised peer-to-peer skill transfer, informal apprenticeships.
Credit Access	Institutional borrowing secured by physical assets and real estate.	Localised trade credit secured purely by community reputation and daily interaction.

SOCIOLOGICAL DISRUPTION AND RURBAN COSMOPOLITANISM

This massive spatial shift of the informal sector is not merely a macroeconomic phenomenon; it triggers a profound sociological disruption. As agricultural viability declines and agrarian labour migrate into these dense middle towns, the traditional social structures of rural India are being irrevocably altered.

In the traditional Indian village, economic roles have historically been rigidly dictated by agrarian hierarchies, land ownership, and the Jajmani system of occupational castes. The census town, however, operates on the fluid, ruthless, but ultimately liberating logic of the informal market. The sheer density and anonymity of the rurban cluster act as a solvent on traditional village hierarchies.

This dynamic creates a raw, unorganised form of what can be termed rurban cosmopolitanism. The rigid, multi-generational social contracts of the village are dissolved and replaced by the precarious, purely transactional contracts of migrant labour and informal commerce. While this environment is economically fragile and legally unprotected, it offers a degree of social mobility and occupational freedom that the agrarian village explicitly denies.

THE SHIFT IN GENDER DYNAMICS

Furthermore, this rurban density has a pronounced and critical impact on gender dynamics. India's female labour force participation (FLFP) rate is notoriously low by global standards, heavily constrained by patriarchal norms, safety concerns regarding long-distance commuting, and the burden of unpaid domestic care.

Empirical analysis of the NSSO data reveals that the urbanisation of the informal sector is strongly correlated with localised surges in female entrepreneurship. The dense networks found in rurban clusters lower the barriers to entry for female-owned micro-enterprises. Because the supply chain is hyper-localised, women can operate home-based manufacturing units (such as food processing, textiles, or artisanal crafts) and

immediately access deep consumer markets without the friction or safety risks of traveling to a distant statutory city or an isolated formal industrial park. In this way, rurban density breeds localised female economic agency, offering an escape from traditional agrarian structures, even if the work itself remains precarious and unformalised.

THE EMPLOYMENT PARADOX OF THE SECOND REPUBLIC

The synthesis of these mechanisms leads to the central employment paradox of modern India. Historically, development economists assumed that urbanisation was explicitly driven by the expansion of formal industrial jobs—the factory created the city. In India, the sequence has fractured. Because formal manufacturing is actively de-urbanising to the periphery, India's rapidly growing middle towns are evolving away from being hubs of formal goods production.

Instead, they are transforming into massive forges of human capital and coping mechanisms for survival. The census towns are absorbing the immense demographic dividend that the rural, agricultural economy has expelled, but they are doing so entirely without the formal industrial tax base that historically financed urban development.

The Rurban Constellation is, at its core, a massive, localised survival mechanism—tens of millions of micro-enterprises trading with one another, sharing inputs, and extending informal credit in an environment completely devoid of formal institutional support. While this organic clustering is a testament to the extraordinary resilience of the Indian workforce, it is structurally unsustainable. If the state continues to govern these dense, buzzing economic hubs as if they were quiet agrarian villages, this informal survival mechanism will eventually collapse under the weight of its own unmanaged density and ecological degradation.

Understanding this precarious survival dynamic sets the stage for analysing how this phenomenon diverges regionally, driven by vastly different macroeconomic engines across the Indian subcontinent.

SECTION 6: THE REGIONAL DIVERGENCE: ENGINEERED VS. ORGANIC RURBANISATION

While the explosion of the Rurban Constellation is a pan-Indian statistical reality, treating it as a monolithic spatial event fundamentally misdiagnoses its underlying mechanics. The spatial engines driving the proliferation of middle towns diverge sharply along India's macroeconomic fault lines. National aggregates mask a severe regional heterogeneity.

To build an effective, politically viable spatial policy for 1.4 billion people, we must dissect the structural differences between the spatial manifestations of macroeconomic divergence. We observe two distinctly different typologies of rurbanisation: the capital-driven "Engineered Rurbanisation" of India's leading industrial states, and the distress-driven "Organic Rurbanisation" of its lagging agrarian states.

CASE STUDY 1: LEADING STATES (TAMIL NADU AND MAHARASHTRA) – ENGINEERED RURBANISATION

In heavily industrialised states like Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, and Gujarat, the emergence of the rurban middle is not a chaotic accident; it is largely the byproduct of deliberate, state-backed industrial policy. We classify this as Engineered Rurbanisation.

In these regions, state industrial development corporations—such as the State Industries Promotion Corporation of Tamil Nadu (SIPCOT) and the Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC)—act as spatial catalysts. Recognising the crippling land market distortions and congestion of legacy cities like Chennai, Pune, and Mumbai, these state agencies proactively use eminent domain and land pooling to acquire vast tracts of peri-urban and rural land. They bypass the fragmented urban property rights detailed in Section III to establish massive, contiguous industrial corridors on the rural edge.

Formal capital—particularly in tradable sectors like automotive manufacturing, electronics assembly, and heavy engineering—moves into these rural peripheries to anchor global supply chains. However, a massive secondary wave of migration immediately follows. The unorganised, informal service sector floods into these rural panchayats to capture the wage spillovers generated by the formal factory workers.

This mirrors Enrico Moretti's framework of localised job multipliers, where one formal manufacturing job in a tradable sector creates multiple informal jobs in non-tradable local services. The informal sector provides everything from unregulated cinderblock housing and localised transport to food services and retail.

In Engineered Rurbanisation, the census town functions as an industrial satellite. The economic base is deeply anchored in formal capital. The crisis here is not a lack of output, but a crisis of ecological and infrastructural friction. Heavy industry and dense migrant labour are operating within Gram Panchayat governance structures that have zero capacity to manage industrial effluent, enforce complex residential zoning, or build high-capacity mass transit.

TABLE 6: THE MECHANICS OF ENGINEERED RURBANISATION (CAPITAL-DRIVEN)

State / Corridor	State Catalyst (Land Aggregator)	Primary Formal Anchor (Tradable Sector)	Informal Sector Agglomeration (Non-Tradable)
Tamil Nadu *(Sriperumbudur / Oragadam)*	SIPCOT	Global Automotive & Electronics (Foxconn, Hyundai).	High-density migrant dormitories, unregulated private transit, hyper-local food retail.
Maharashtra *(Chakan / Ranjangaon)*	MIDC	Heavy Engineering, Auto-components, Pharmaceuticals.	Unorganised construction labour, informal logistics/warehousing, informal credit networks.

.

CASE STUDY 2: LAGGING STATES (WEST BENGAL AND BIHAR) – ORGANIC RURBANISATION

Conversely, in lagging agrarian states within the eastern and northern belts—specifically West Bengal, Bihar, and eastern Uttar Pradesh—formal manufacturing is largely absent. Yet, these states are witnessing an equally explosive, if not greater, growth in rurban density.

West Bengal presents the most startling empirical anomaly in the nation. Between the 2001 and 2011 censuses, West Bengal recorded the highest absolute increase in Census Towns in the entire country, surging from 252 to 780 within a single decade. This completely altered the spatial fabric of districts like Murshidabad, Howrah, and Nadia. Yet, this explosion of urban density occurred alongside a stagnation, and in some districts a contraction, of formal ASI-registered manufacturing.

This is Organic or Distress Rurbanisation. It is not driven by the arrival of global capital, but by the collapse of localised agriculture.

As agrarian distress deepens and landholding sizes shrink below subsistence levels, rural labour is forced into circular out-migration toward the engineered industrial corridors of the South and West. The capital sent back by these migrant workers—inward remittances—fuels a hyper-localised consumption boom in their home districts. This constant influx of remittance cash drives the rapid clustering of unorganised retail, localised construction, informal healthcare, and private tutoring services in what were once large villages, transforming them demographically and economically into bustling towns

TABLE 7: THE MECHANICS OF ORGANIC RURBANISATION (CONSUMPTION-DRIVEN)

State / Region	Absolute Growth in Census Towns (2001-2011)	Formal Industrial Base (ASI Growth)	Primary Driver of Localised Rurban GDP
West Bengal (Murshidabad, Nadia)	+ 528 (Highest in India)	Stagnant / Contracting	Remittance-fuelled informal retail, unorganised healthcare, and localised construction.
Bihar (Siwan, Gopalganj)	+ 51 (High functional density)	Negligible / Near Zero	Pure distress clustering, subsistence services, and consumption of inward remittances.

These middle towns represent consumption-driven agglomeration. They are effectively massive, dense, informal markets where micro-enterprises trade with one another using imported remittance capital. Because there is no formal factory anchoring the town, they produce very few tradable goods. This makes their localised economies incredibly fragile; a macroeconomic shock in Maharashtra that leads to factory layoffs will immediately cause a consumption collapse in a census town in Bihar.

EMPIRICAL SIGNATURES AND THE POLICY IMPERATIVE

When we synthesise these two typologies, it becomes evident that the Rurban Constellation is unified only by its demographic density and its shared governance deficit (the Panchayat Trap). Economically, they are entirely different species of settlement.

TABLE 8: EMPIRICAL SIGNATURES OF REGIONAL RURBANISATION (THE MASTER COMPARISON)

Typology	Engineered Rurbanisation (Leading States)	Organic/Distress Rurbanisation (Lagging States)
Primary Geographic Hubs	Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Gujarat.	West Bengal, Bihar, Eastern Uttar Pradesh.
Economic Base	Production & Capital-Driven. Anchored by formal manufacturing (tradable goods) linked to global/national supply chains.	Consumption & Remittance-Driven. Anchored by agrarian out-migration and localised informal retail (non-tradable services).
Nature of Agglomeration	Informal labour clusters to absorb the wage spillovers of formal factory workers.	Micro-enterprises cluster to capture inward remittance spending from distant migrant family members.
Local Fiscal Reality	Immense potential for corporate property and commercial taxation, but currently untaxed due to rural classification.	Near-zero formal tax base. Local economy is entirely informal, leaving the settlement highly dependent on central grants (MGNREGA).
Primary Constraint to Growth	Ecological degradation (industrial waste) and lack of mass transit for migrant labour pools.	Lack of localised formal credit and the absence of basic civic infrastructure (clean water, deep sewerage).

Source: Author's synthesis of regional spatial dynamics based on state-level ASI output and Census demographic data.

Applying a monolithic, top-down spatial policy across these "Two Indias" is a recipe for catastrophic governance failure. The Second Republic must adopt a fiercely regionalised, highly adaptable spatial architecture.

For the capital-driven rurban clusters of Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra, the immediate policy imperative is industrial zoning, land-value capture, and environmental management. These areas require upgraded

municipal structures capable of taxing corporate land use to build hazardous waste infrastructure and high-capacity worker transit.

For the distress-driven rurban clusters of West Bengal and Bihar, the intervention must be entirely different. Without a formal corporate tax base to tap into, these organic towns cannot fund themselves through local property taxes. They require massive, direct state injections of basic civic infrastructure and localised micro-credit. The policy goal here is not to manage heavy industrial waste, but to formalise the survivalist economy, ensuring that remittance-fuelled service hubs can eventually incubate their own localised, sustainable enterprises.

Both typologies, however, share one immediate, paralyzing bottleneck: the legal and constitutional mismatch of being governed as rural villages.

SECTION 7: THE INSTITUTIONAL MISMATCH IN CENSUS TOWNS

Whether a census town is birthed by the capital-driven forces of engineered rurbanisation in Maharashtra or the distress-driven remittance economy of West Bengal, they both violently collide with the exact same administrative wall. The spatial transition of the Indian economy has far outpaced its constitutional architecture. As the foundational work in urban economics demonstrates, the economic miracles of agglomeration are not automatic; they are heavily contingent upon the provision of specialised public goods. High-density populations generate severe negative externalities—congestion, contagious disease, and industrial pollution—that must be mitigated by highly capable municipal governance.

In India's Rurban Constellation, this municipal governance is entirely absent. Despite possessing the demographic density, spatial footprint, and non-agricultural economic complexity of statutory cities, India's rapidly multiplying census towns are legally classified and governed as rural Gram Panchayats.

This is the "Panchayat Trap"—a structural governance deficit that acts as a crippling bottleneck on aggregate productivity and threatens to derail the economic future of hundreds of millions of citizens.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHASM: THE PANCHAYAT TRAP

The constitutional architecture of Indian local governance is dictated by the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments, both enacted in 1992. The 73rd Amendment established the Panchayati Raj system for rural village administration, while the 74th Amendment established Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) for towns and cities. These two systems are separated by a massive chasm in administrative capacity, fiscal autonomy, and statutory mandates.

When a settlement transitions organically from an agrarian village to a dense rurban hub of 20,000 to 50,000 people, its economic profile transforms completely, but its governance structure remains frozen under the 73rd Amendment. State governments are notoriously reluctant to formally notify these census towns as statutory urban municipalities.

The political economy underpinning this reluctance is formidable. Rural constituencies vehemently oppose the introduction of urban property taxes and strict building codes. Simultaneously, state politicians fear losing access to highly lucrative central government rural development grants, such as the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) funds, which are statutorily prohibited from being deployed in urban areas.

Deploying a Gram Panchayat to govern a dense, industrialised census town is akin to asking a village cooperative to manage the logistics of a metropolitan borough. The 11th Schedule of the Constitution (governing Panchayats) does not mandate the provision of deep sewage systems, hazardous waste management, or heavy arterial roads. Consequently, the local government has neither the legal mandate, the technical cadre, nor the fiscal authority to build the infrastructure that rurban agglomeration demands.

THE "MISSING MIDDLE" OF PUBLIC FINANCE

Because the Indian fiscal architecture distributes infrastructure funding based strictly on this flawed rural-urban binary, census towns fall into a catastrophic funding chasm. They are systematically excluded from the very central schemes designed to support their economic density, while the rural schemes they do qualify for are fundamentally unsuited for high-density living.

TABLE 9: JURISDICTIONAL AND FISCAL CAPACITY (THE GOVERNANCE CHASM)

Governance Metric	Rural Governance (Gram Panchayat under 73rd Amendment)	Urban Governance (Municipality under 74th Amendment)	Impact on the Rurban Constellation
Statutory Mandate	Agriculture, rural housing, localised village roads, livestock.	Urban planning, land-use zoning, public health, solid waste management.	Census towns operate without land-use zoning, leading to chaotic commercial sprawl and zero industrial buffers.
Fiscal Autonomy	Near zero. Highly dependent on intergovernmental transfers.	Constitutional authority to levy property taxes and commercial licensing fees.	Rurban hubs generate massive informal economic output but capture none of it through local taxation.
Administrative Cadre	Minimal. Usually overseen by a single village secretary without technical expertise.	Specialised cadres: Town planners, civil engineers, municipal health officers.	Panchayats lack the technical literacy to design sewage networks or manage multi-story building approvals.
Capital Markets Access	Prohibited from borrowing or accessing capital markets.	Capable of raising capital via municipal bonds and institutional borrowing.	Middle India is entirely locked out of private infrastructure financing.

Central schemes intended to build urban capacity—such as the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT), the Smart Cities Mission, and the urban component of the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (PMAY-Urban)—are statutorily restricted to formally notified ULBs. A rapidly growing rurban census town with 40,000 residents, ten unorganised textile clusters, and three formal automotive parts factories on its periphery is completely ineligible for these urban infrastructure investments.

THE REGRESSIVE TAX ON RURBAN PRODUCTIVITY

The ultimate macroeconomic consequence of this institutional mismatch is the suffocation of localised productivity. In spatial economics, the multiplier effect of agglomeration relies entirely on minimising the friction of distance and transaction costs. When formal manufacturing and informal services cluster in a census town governed by a panchayat, those transaction costs skyrocket.

Without a municipal water grid, micro-enterprises are forced to purchase water from private tankers at exorbitant premiums. Without stable industrial power grids, localised informal manufacturers must rely on expensive, polluting diesel generators. Without paved arterial roads, supply chain logistics are delayed, inventory holding costs rise, and spoilage increases. Without solid waste management, industrial effluent and commercial waste choke local drainage systems, leading to cyclical flooding that routinely destroys the inventory of unorganised retailers.

Furthermore, the lack of spatial planning and land-use zoning prevents the creation of organised commercial districts. Retailers, informal manufacturers, residential housing, and heavy industry are thrown together in a

chaotic sprawl. This prevents the efficient clustering of specialised suppliers that is essential for robust entrepreneurial ecosystems to mature.

TABLE 10: THE "MISSING MIDDLE" FUNDING GAP IN PUBLIC GOODS

Infrastructure Domain	Rural Scheme Delivery (Accessible to Census Towns)	Urban Scheme Delivery (Denied to Census Towns)	The Rurban Reality / Consequence
Roads & Transit	*PMGSY:* Designed for light rural traffic and single-lane village connectivity.	*Urban Transport Funds:* Designed for heavy arterial roads and commercial freight.	Rural roads in census towns collapse rapidly under the weight of industrial freight trucks.
Water & Sanitation	*Jal Jeevan Mission (Rural):* Focuses on basic piped water supply without deep sewage.	*AMRUT:* Funds integrated water grids, deep sewerage networks, and septage management.	Rurban clusters suffer severe groundwater depletion and contamination due to the total absence of sewerage systems.
Housing	*PMAY (Gramin):* Grants for individual rural housing on existing agricultural plots.	*PMAY (Urban):* Focuses on affordable multi-story housing and credit-linked subsidies.	Census towns develop massive, unregulated, and structurally unsafe informal slums.

This governance deficit acts as a massive, regressive tax on the informal economy. The rurban entrepreneur in Middle India is forced to internalise the cost of public goods that the state has failed to provide, severely depressing their profit margins. For informal, subsistence survival to transition into sustainable, localised entrepreneurship, the state must step in. The transition to the Second Republic requires a radical dismantling of the Panchayat Trap and the introduction of a new, intermediate tier of fiscal and spatial governance specifically designed for the Rurban Constellation.

SECTION 8: POLICY ARCHITECTURE FOR THE SECOND REPUBLIC

The aspiration to transform India into a developed, $30 trillion economy by 2047—encapsulated in the national vision of Viksit Bharat—hinges entirely on what happens to the 80 percent of the Indian workforce operating in the informal sector. Currently, the traditional urban mega-cities are visibly maxed out, choking on their own congestion and pricing out new entrants. Simultaneously, traditional agrarian villages are economically emptying out. The future of the Indian workforce, therefore, physically resides in the Rurban Constellation.

If the state leaves these dense, hyper-active middle towns governed as rural panchayats, it will permanently trap the vast majority of its demographic dividend in low-productivity, survivalist micro-enterprises. The misallocation of land and capital will compound, continuously depressing Total Factor Productivity (TFP). To actualise the Second Republic, spatial governance must be fundamentally re-architected. We must dismantle the archaic rural-urban binary and build a new, intermediate policy framework designed explicitly to formalise, finance, and empower Middle India.

BREAKING THE POLITICAL ECONOMY DEADLOCK: THE "TRANSITIONAL HOLD-HARMLESS PERIOD"

The most formidable barrier to empowering the rurban middle is not a lack of economic theory, but a deeply entrenched political economy deadlock. State Chief Ministers and local politicians rationally refuse to officially notify census towns as statutory urban municipalities because urbanisation carries a severe, immediate political penalty.

Upgrading a town to a municipality triggers two highly unpopular events: the introduction of urban property taxes on a resistant local population, and the immediate disqualification of the settlement from lucrative central rural development grants, most notably the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) and the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana-Gramin (PMAY-G). Expecting local politicians to voluntarily bankrupt their own constituencies of central funds in exchange for the right to levy unpopular taxes is a public finance fantasy.

To bridge this chasm, the central government must introduce a "Transitional Hold-Harmless Period."

Under this mechanism, when a census town crosses the threshold to become a notified urban hub, the central government legally guarantees the settlement its historical baseline allocation of rural grants for a 5-to-10-year sunset period. This functions as a fiscal shock absorber. During this decade, the new municipality is given the breathing room to slowly phase in property taxes, establish commercial licensing fees, and build its own-source revenue base. Simultaneously, it uses the "held-harmless" central funds to transition rural employment guarantees into urban public works programs—such as laying deep sewerage lines and paving arterial commercial roads.

By eliminating the immediate fiscal cliff of urbanisation, the central government shifts the political calculus from a penalty to an incentive, unlocking the administrative transition of thousands of towns.

EMPOWERING MIDDLE INDIA: THE 4F ARCHITECTURE FOR RURBAN CLUSTERS

Once the political deadlock is broken, these newly transitioned hubs require a governance structure tailored to their unique spatial realities. We must apply a comprehensive "4F" governance architecture: Foundations, Functions, Finances, and Functionaries.

1. Foundations (The Legal Bedrock): The foundational flaw of Indian urbanisation is that it relies on the discretionary political will of state governments. This must be replaced with empirical, automatic

statutory mandates. Utilising the UN's Degree of Urbanisation framework, the state must deploy satellite night-time light (NTL) data, built-up area density metrics, and digital non-agricultural employment tracking to establish objective thresholds. When a settlement crosses these empirical thresholds, its notification as a "Transitional Urban Hub" must be triggered automatically, stripping state politicians of the veto power that currently keeps industrial towns classified as rural panchayats.

2. Functions (Spatial Planning and Zoning): Currently, census towns operate as a chaotic spatial sprawl where informal micro-enterprises, residential slums, and hazardous formal manufacturing physically collide. This lack of zoning exacerbates the ecological friction detailed in Section IV. Transitional Urban Hubs must be granted the immediate legal mandate and requirement to execute spatial planning. This includes enforcing basic land-use zoning to separate toxic industrial effluent from residential groundwater, mapping commercial corridors to allow micro-enterprises to cluster and share inputs efficiently, and securing land for centralised, common effluent treatment plants (CETPs).

3. Finances (Capturing Local Output and Fixing Capital Frictions): The informal economy generates massive localised output, but a rural panchayat lacks the constitutional authority to capture it. These new rurban hubs must be granted the fiscal autonomy of a municipality to resolve localised capital market distortions.

   First, they must be empowered to execute Land Value Capture (LVC). As the state builds connective infrastructure, the value of peri-urban land skyrockets; municipalities must capture a fraction of this unearned premium to fund civic works.

   Second, by formally mapping real estate and levying standardised property taxes—even on formal manufacturing plants operating on their periphery—these towns generate a predictable revenue stream.

   Finally, this formalised revenue stream provides the collateral necessary for these towns to eventually access municipal bond markets, circumventing the formal banking sector's historical reluctance to lend to middle-tier geographies. Furthermore, formalising their governance instantly makes them eligible for massive central urban schemes like the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT).

4. Functionaries (Technical Cadres): A lone village secretary cannot manage the logistics, environmental monitoring, and spatial planning of a highly industrialised town of 50,000 people. The 74th Amendment's promise is empty without administrative capacity. State governments must be mandated to deploy specialised, lateral-entry municipal cadres—civil engineers, public health officers, environmental inspectors, and town planners—to these transitional hubs. Implementing robust capacity-building programs will be critical to upskilling local administrators to manage urban complexity and execute the new spatial functions.

TABLE 11: THE 4F ARCHITECTURE FOR TRANSITIONAL URBAN HUBS

Governance Pillar	Current Reality (Gram Panchayat)	Proposed Architecture (Transitional Urban Hub)	Economic Mechanism & Impact
Foundations	Discretionary; states refuse to upgrade towns to keep rural grants.	Automatic Statutory Triggers: Based on satellite NTL and functional density data.	Eliminates the statistical blind spot; formally recognises the economic engine.
Functions	Agriculture, rural housing, localised village roads.	Spatial Zoning & Planning: Land-use mapping, industrial buffering, commercial clustering.	Reduces transaction costs for informal firms; mitigates ecological toxicity.
Finances	Near-zero own-source revenue; dependent on central rural schemes.	Fiscal Autonomy & LVC: Property taxation, municipal bonds, access to AMRUT funds.	Generates capital to build deep sewerage and grids; bridges local capital market failures.
Functionaries	Single village secretary with no technical expertise.	Specialised Technical Cadres: Civil engineers, town planners, health officers.	Provides the human capital necessary to execute complex urban governance.

TARGETED INFRASTRUCTURE ALLOCATION FOR THE 80 PERCENT

Finally, the Second Republic requires a radical paradigm shift in how we deploy public infrastructure capital. Over the last two decades, capital has flowed almost exclusively toward highly visible mega-projects: massive international airports, the Golden Quadrilateral, and high-speed rail. While these are necessary to integrate the top-tier formal corporate economy with global markets, they do almost nothing to lower the transaction costs for the 80 percent of the labour force operating in the informal rurban economy.

Future infrastructure investments must aggressively pivot toward the connective tissue within and between these rurban nodes.

For the informal micro-entrepreneur, productivity is determined by localised friction. An informal textile manufacturer in a census town in Tamil Nadu does not need a bullet train; they need a paved arterial road that survives the monsoon, allowing them to move intermediate inputs efficiently. A localised service aggregator needs a stable, industrial-grade micro-grid so they are not forced to bleed capital on expensive, polluting diesel generators.

By redirecting infrastructure capital toward the Rurban Constellation—focusing on deep sewerage, localised mass transit for migrant labour, and continuous commercial power grids—the state can definitively lower the transaction costs of survival. When a micro-enterprise no longer has to pay exorbitant private premiums to overcome state failure, its profit margins expand. This capital retention is the exact mechanism by which informal, precarious survival transitions into sustainable, localised, cluster-based entrepreneurship.

SECTION 9: CONCLUSION

The orthodox models of spatial economics—where capital and labour march in lockstep toward the metropolitan core—have fundamentally fractured in the Indian context. As this paper has demonstrated, India's economic geography is being violently rewritten by a profound spatial decoupling, driven entirely by severe factor market distortions. Paralyzed by fragmented urban property rights and exorbitant land assembly costs, formal capital is actively de-urbanising to the rural periphery to secure spatial scale. Simultaneously, locked out of formal institutional banking, the informal workforce—comprising 80 percent of the nation's labour—is hyper-urbanising into dense, unregulated clusters to forge the physical proximity required for informal credit, input sharing, and economic survival.

The collision of these two macroeconomic forces has birthed the Rurban Constellation. Yet, blinded by an archaic, 1961-era statistical epistemology, the Indian state refuses to legally recognise this functional reality. By classifying these hyper-dense economic hubs as rural villages, the state condemns Middle India to the "Panchayat Trap." This structural governance deficit starves the nation's fastest-growing settlements of municipal finance, environmental zoning, and deep civic infrastructure. It allows unchecked industrial effluent to contaminate rural water tables and acts as a massive, regressive tax on the localised productivity of millions of micro-entrepreneurs.

To resolve this crisis, the state must transition from passive observation to active spatial engineering. The political economy deadlock must be broken via a "Transitional Hold-Harmless Period," guaranteeing baseline rural funds while municipal taxation is phased in. From there, the implementation of the 4F Architecture—objective legal Foundations, spatial Functions, municipal Finances, and specialised technical Functionaries—will finally provide these transitional hubs with the institutional scaffolding they desperately require.

India's demographic window is narrowing. The aspiration of Viksit Bharat—a developed, $30 trillion economy by 2047—cannot be realised by pouring capital exclusively into saturated mega-cities, nor by indefinitely subsidising the emptying agrarian hinterland. The economic destiny of 1.4 billion people physically resides in the missing middle. Reimagining spatial policy to finance, formalise, and empower the Rurban Constellation is not merely an administrative upgrade; it is the absolute prerequisite for the making of the Second Republic.

REFERENCES

Desmet, K., Ghani, E., O'Connell, S., & Rossi-Hansberg, E. (2015). The Spatial Development of India. Journal of Regional Science, 55(1), 10–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/jors.12100

Ghani, Ejaz. (Forthcoming, 2026). The Second Republic: Re-architecting India's Spatial and Economic Geography. Oxford University Press.

Ghani, E. (2025). The Spatial Paradox: Re-architecting India's Urban and Industrial Future. Economic and Political Weekly, 60(43-44). https://doi.org/10.71279/epw.v60i43-44.46219

Ghani, E. (2024). A Rural Awakening for Manufacturing. Economic and Political Weekly, 59(46). https://www.epw.in/journal/2024/46/commentary/rural-awakening-manufacturing.html

Ghani, E., Goswami, A. G., & Kerr, W. R. (2016). Highway to Success: The Impact of the Golden Quadrilateral Project for the Location and Performance of Indian Manufacturing. The Economic Journal, 126(591), 317–357. (Originally published as World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 6320). https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12207

Ghani, E., Goswami, A. G., & Kerr, W. R. (2012). Is India's Manufacturing Sector Moving Away From Cities? National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 17992. https://doi.org/10.3386/w17992

Ghani, E., Kerr, W. R., & O'Connell, S. (2014). Spatial Determinants of Entrepreneurship in India. Regional Studies, 48(6), 1071-1089. (Originally published as NBER Working Paper No. 17514). https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2013.839869

Redding, S. J., & Turner, M. A. (2015). Transportation Costs and the Spatial Organisation of Economic Activity. Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics, Vol. 5, 1339–1398. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-59531-7.00020-x